

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/555,523	09/15/2000	Veronique Roulier	6388-0518-0	9437
22850	7590 07/22/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			• EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	STREET RIA, VA 22314		WELLS, LAUREN Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003	21

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		09/555,523	ROULIER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app	Lauren Q Wells	1617			
Peri d fo		ears if the cover sheet with the t	conespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J	une 2003 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	ion of Claims					
•	4) Claim(s) 1-10,12,13,15-32 and 34-46 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,13 and 30-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-10, 15-29, 34-46</u> is/are rejected.					
	/) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/555,523

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-10, 12-13, 15-32, 34-46 are pending. Claims 12-13, 30-32 are withdrawn from consideration, as they are directed toward non-elected subject matter. The Amendment filed 6/17/03, Paper No. 19, amended claims 1 and 20.

Applicant's arguments are persuasive to over the 35 USC 112 rejection in the previous Office Action.

103 Rejection Maintained

The rejection of claims 1-10, 15-29, 34-46 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 09255529 (translation) in combination with US 5,326,484 is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed 3/17/03, Paper No. 18, and those found below.

Applicant argues, "The claimed invention requires the fatty substances in the oily phase to consist essentially of oils. For purposes of the claimed invention, oils differ from solid fatty substances such as waxes. JP '529 neither teaches nor suggests this limitation". This argument is not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully points out that for the purposes of searching for and applying prior art under 35 USC 102 and 103, absent a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of" will be construed as equivalent to comprising. If an applicant contends that additional steps or material in the prior art are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of", applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention. See MPEP 2111.03.

Applicant argues, "JP '529 teaches away from O/W emulsions in which the oily phase consists essentially of oils. Specifically, JP '529 states that the oily phase of its compositions

Application/Control Number: 09/555,523

Art Unit: 1617

must be solid. . . Moreover, JP '529's comparative example 2 demonstrates that compositions containing an oily phase lacking fatty substances are unacceptable and, thus, that substantial amounts of solid fatty substances must be present". This argument is not persuasive. First, the Examiner respectfully directs Applicant to the above paragraph, regarding the phrase "consisting essentially of". Second, the Examiner respectfully points out that JP '529 teaches oils in their oils phase. See Claim 6 of JP '529 which teaches higher alcohols and higher fatty acids as oil components and see page 21 of JP '529 which teaches that liquid fats and oils can bed blended into the emulsion. The Examiner respectfully points out that oils can be in both solid and liquid forms bases on their chemical make-up and the temperature at which they are contained. However, Applicant has not claimed the oils in a liquid state.

Applicant argues, "nothing in Nakashima would motivate one skilled in the art to modify JP '529, contrary to the teachings of JP '529, such that the fatty substances in the oily phase consist essentially of oils". This argument is not persuasive, as Nakashima is merely relied upon to teach monodispersions.

Applicant argues, "no motivation would exist to combine JP '529 and Nakashima with the expectation that a stable emulsion containing monodispersed globules would be obtained". This argument is not persuasive. Nakashima is specifically directed toward emulsions comprising little or no surfactants and JP '529 is an emulsion with substantially no surfactant, and Nakashima teaches that great stability is imparted to such emulsions when the oily globules of the oil phase are monodispersed. Thus, there is motivation to combine the two references.

Application/Control Number: 09/555,523

Art Unit: 1617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Q Wells whose telephone number is (703) 305-1878. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7-4:30), with alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (703)305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

lqw July 18, 2003

THEODORE J. CRIARES PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

/b2